

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO | D. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|----------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/936,721 | | 12/19/2001 | Helmut Wassermann | HAMMON-002 1611 | |
| 530 | 7590 | 03/29/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | • | , LITTENBERG, | PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D | | |
| KRUMHO 600 SOUT | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WESTFIE | LD, NJ (| 07090 | 3738 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| 09/936,721 | WASSERMANN ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit . | | |
| Christopher D. Prone | 3738 | | |

| | Christopher D. Prone | 3/38 | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>03 March 2006</u>. A brief the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | or any extension thereof (37 CFR | 41.37(e)), to avoid dis | missal of the |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I | | | ecause |
| (a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ They raise new issues that would require further co | | TE below); | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally re | iected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | • | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | : | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | ill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 29,67 and 68. | | | • |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/QTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I | No(s) | |
| 13. Other: | | | |
| | 1/2 | | |
| | CORRINE MCDERMOTT | n | |
| | CORRINE MCDERINGT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700 | Chais Ross | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | JECHMOITON . | - 90 , | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new claims and the curretly amended claims further define th scope in a manner that will require further search and consideration.